(CLASSIFICATION)

64.60494

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

		Action Memorandum NoA - 421	Action Memorandum No	
		Date 31 August 1964 A	_	
то	:	Assistant Director for Central Reference	1 / ~ .	
VIA	:	Deputy Director for Intelligence		
SUBJECT	: CE:	Report of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy) い	

- 1. Per our phone conversation, would you please handle the attached inquiry from GSA on CIA's requirements for copies of the report of the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy? Despite widespread interest in this subject, I doubt we need as many copies as GSA suggests.
- 2. Please answer directly to Mr. Smith of GSA by 10 September 1964; no acknowledgement from the Director is needed. However, please inform this office when copies arrive so we may announce their availability within the Office of the Director.

Office of the Executive Director to annote

SUSPENSE DATE: 10 September 1964

Distribution:

Original & 1 - addressee

1 - DM chrono-

ExDir (chrono) (1)- ER

(CLASSIFICATION) Approved For Release, 2002/08/21: CIA-RDP80B01676R000200040022-4 M E M O R A N D U M

25X1

W-1

(CLASSIFICATION)

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

Action	Memorandum No	o
		A-420
Date _		
	27 August I	964

TO

Director/Budget, Programs Analysis and Manpower

A C T I O

SUBJECT

Substantive Review of the Agency

REFERENCE:

- 1. I have just completed careful studies of the Inspector General reports on two research offices, OSI and ORR. I thought both of these were good IG reports but noted very specifically that nowhere in them did they give any indication as to whether all of the research being done was needed, all of the publications being printed were required, the quality of the research or the quality of the product produced. In other words, they are good reviews of what is going on without any really searching as to why it is going on or whether it should go on.
- 2. What this leaves us with is either accepting the unanalyzed recommendations of the Directorates and relying upon some consumer reaction, which we know is very little, or devising a system for getting at the substance. It seems to me that this is a responsibility of the Programs Analysis Staff, but I am not sure we are geared up to do it properly. Please let me have your views at your earliest convenience.

(signed) Lyman B. Kirkpatrick

Lyman B. Kirkpatrick Executive Director

SUSPENSE DATE:

LBK:drm Distribution:

O&1 - Addressee

ER: 1-ExDir

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification

Approved For Release 2002/08/21: CIA-RDP80B01676R000200040022-4

M E M ORANDU M

M

E

M O R A N D U

(CLASSIFICATION)

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

64-5907

Action A	Nemorandum NoA-4	
Date _	KR-F	7423
Duic	25 August 1964	
		Α
		1
		-C
		$\stackrel{\smile}{=}$
	•	
		I

SUBJECT :

TO

Medical Services to Employees

Acting Deputy Director/ Support

REFERENCE:

- I. Within recent months I have twice listened to the Chief of the Medical Staff talk to supervisors about what services the Medical Staff provides. I would be less than frank if I didn't say that I wonder if it is as good as it sounds. I am rather anxious to find out just how good it is and just how extensive it is, and I recognize that I am in a rather poor position to find out because the treatment I receive is undoubtedly preferential.
- 2. As an initial effort in this direction, could you have somebody check within the next few days and advise me as to the dates on which each of the senior staff last received a full physical examination from the Medical Staff. By senior staff I am specifically referring to the chiefs of each of the units shown on the attached chart.

(signed) Lyman B. Kirkpatrick

Lyman B. Kirkpatrick Executive Director

LBK:drm

Distribution:

Original and 1 - Addressee w/att.

1 - ER w/o att.

1 - ExDir w/o att.

SUSPENSE DATE:

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgracing and
Geolassification

(CLASSIFICATION)